

extra-pulmonary control of the disease, lung resection required and the number of metastases. It has been classically considered that a single metastasis with a lung resection <or = lobectomy represent the optimal candidates for surgery while other criteria for selection are often depending on modifications obtained with chemotherapy. In a retrospective analysis of 381 adult patients with surgically resected lung metastases, 230 with carcinoma and 151 with sarcoma, we observed a 5 and 10 year survival probability of 37% and 23% respectively in carcinoma, and 31% and 28% respectively in sarcoma. Death was disease related in 96% of cases in both groups. The number of metastases actually found was more frequently underestimated when there were >5 metastases. Three subgroups of patients were considered according to the number of metastases resected: 1, 2-4, and 5 or more. There were 1 metastasis in 181 cases, 2-4 in 118 cases and 5 or more in 82 cases. A wedge resection was performed in 75% of cases. The only statistically significant difference between the three subgroups was found between 1 and 2-4 metastases from carcinoma (log-rank test, $p=0.02$). No significant difference was observed in the other comparisons (2-4 or more metastases for carcinoma or any number of metastases for sarcoma). In a retrospective analysis of 36 patients surviving 10 years or more after resection of lung metastases in our Institute, the number of metastases was not found to have a prognostic influence. These results suggest that the number of metastases is not a limit by itself to consider a surgical resection of lung metastases. Similar data have been reported in the large analysis of the International Registry of Lung Metastases where 5206 cases were included. Among 2169 patients with one metastasis, 5 and 10 year survival rates were 43% and 31% respectively with a median survival of 43 months. Results were 34% and 24% respectively with a median survival of 31 months for 1226 patients with 2 or 3 metastases. They were 27% and 19% respectively with a median survival at 27 months for 1123 patients with 5 or more metastases. These results show that 5 or more metastases, are not a contra-indication to surgery in selected patients. Such proposal must take into account other prognostic parameters but a large tumor debulking in combination with systemic chemotherapy in order to obtain minimal residual disease might be useful before using cytotoxic agents and new targeted drugs

930

Surgical treatment of colorectal liver metastases

P.M. Schlag. *Humboldt University Berlin, Department of Surgical Oncology, Berlin, Germany*

Refinement surgical techniques have made hepatic resection a safe and efficient procedure (morbidity <25% and mortality <5%). Mean 5-year survival is 30% and the 5-year disease-free survival rate 15%. However, only 10-15% of patients with colorectal liver metastases can undergo potentially curative liver resection.

Therefore, accurate staging is an important prerequisite in selecting patients who would benefit from surgery. Several clinicopathologic and biologic prognostic factors have been identified. The most generally accepted contraindication to liver resection is the presence of discontinuous extrahepatic spread and more than four metastases.

Recurrence of hepatic metastases after liver resection occurs in up to 60% of the cases after a median of only 9-12 months. Only 23 to 33% of these recurrent metastases appear to be resectable resulting in an overall 3-year survival rate of 33%. Preoperative chemotherapy is a recent concept in the management of these patients. In several prospective studies adjuvant chemotherapy did not improve the prognosis of patients after liver resection and is investigated in a multicenter randomized EORTC trial (Pre- and Post-Operative Chemotherapy with Oxaliplatin 5FU/LV versus Surgery alone in Resectable Liver Metastases from Colorectal Origin- Phase III Study, 40983).

Interventional strategies (laser induced thermotherapy, cryosurgery, embolisation, ethanol injection, radiofrequency ablation) and combined modalities (surgical/interventional) are additive methods which may help to improve surgical treatment results in the future.

931

In transit metastases

F.J. Lejeune. *Centre Hosp. Univ. Vaudois, Multidisciplinary Oncology Centre, Lausanne, Switzerland*

Melanoma in-transit metastases, when multiple, are rarely eradicated by surgery. Isolated limb perfusion (ILP) with high dose melphalan yields around 50% CRs and 90% overall responses, whilst the best systemic treatments offer less than 5% and 35% respectively.

Cancer growth depends on angiogenesis which is promoted by angiogenic factors secreted by tumor cells. We designed an ILP protocol with double targeting: the combination of melphalan (M) to Tumour Necrosis Factor (TNF) and Interferon gamma (I). Melphalan induces apoptosis of melanoma cells and TNF apoptosis of angiogenic vessels. A multicentric randomized phase II showed 79% complete response with TIM-ILP and a 10% drop of CR rate with TM-ILP (NS). A comparison with matched cases from a database confirmed that melphalan only results in 52% complete responses. Other European teams evaluated TM-ILP and found a complete response rate ranging from 60 to 70% and overall response of 80 to 90%. It was recently found that TIM or TIM-ILP is most efficient on bulky metastases which resist to melphalan alone. Therefore, ILP with TNF is indicated for bulky disease or after recurrence post melphalan alone.

Despite the high response rates, ILPs are regional treatments and have no impact on survival with a median survival of 2.5 years. As a single high dose therapy, ILP should be considered as induction therapy. Current studies suggest that specific immunotherapy with peptides and antiangiogenic treatments could be good candidates as maintenance therapies.

932

Surgical Indications of metastatic bone disease

R. Capanna, P. De Biase, D.A. Campanacci, P. Caldora, G. Beltrami. *Centro Traumatologico Ortopedico, Oncological and Reconstructive Surgery Centre, Florence, Italy*

Metastatic bone disease is nowadays a very frequent pathology. This is due both to prolonged survival of cancer patients and to elective localization to bone of metastases. Treatment of bone metastases should be more aggressive to enhance life quality of metastatic patients, but should not become an overtreatment. Therefore a new classification on bone metastases have been developed sharing patients into 4 classes. In class one patients present a single metastatic lesion and have a good general prognosis: surgical treatment should address oncological purposes as for a primary lesion, with a limb salvage surgery. In classes two (pathological fracture) and three (impending fracture) surgical treatment should lessen patient symptoms and give a good limb function. In class four, patients are referred first to radiation oncologist. Surgical treatment range from simple osteosynthesis to reinforced osteosynthesis to prosthesis reconstruction. Decision making is based on four features: the expected survival, the biomechanical importance of the involved side, the size of the lesion and the probable response to adjuvant therapy. Simple osteosynthesis is used for stabilization in diaphyseal lesions in patients with poor prognosis or in cases which have a good response to adjuvant therapy (ERT, CHT). Reinforced osteosynthesis employs acrylic cement to add strength to synthesis devices (plates, rods) and to reconstruct minor bone defects. It is indicated in small metaphyseal lesions and in diaphyseal lesion with metastatic lesions not well responding to adjuvant treatments or in patients with short life expectancy (less than 1 year). When destructive lesions are located in the epiphyseal or metaphyseal segment of weight-bearing bone we prefer to resect the bone lesion and use a megaprosthesis. Patients with long life expectancy should also be treated with prosthetic reconstruction in weight-bearing bones.

933

The challenge of cerebral metastasis

P. Bullock. *Guy's Hospital, London, United Kingdom; Kings College Hospital*

The optimal management of patients with metastatic brain disease poses many difficult questions for patients, families, caregivers and society as a whole.

Issues related to quality of life as well as the cost of palliative treatment in terminal illness are valid concerns when treatment options for patients with brain metastasis are being considered. However statistical analysis and treatment costs mean little to the individual with metastatic brain tumour.

This review looks at the role of surgery in providing meaningful palliation in selected groups of patients. The ideal prognostic indicators are age less than 60 years, a Karnofsky score greater than 70%, a single metastasis in an accessible location and no systemic disease. Advances in surgery and imaging have led to minimal invasive surgery with reduced morbidity rates and decreased length of hospital stays with an effective reduction in overall costs. Surgical resection of brain metastases has routinely been followed by whole brain radiotherapy. However the increasing popularity of radiosurgery has challenged this combination. The current debate between the benefits of stereotactic radiosurgery versus surgical resection of brain metastases will be covered in this presentation.